118TH CONGRESS 2D Session	S.	
------------------------------	----	--

To require systematic review of artificial intelligence systems before deployment by the Federal Government, and for other purposes.

## IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. Welch (for himself and Mr. Luján) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on \_\_\_\_\_

## A BILL

To require systematic review of artificial intelligence systems before deployment by the Federal Government, and for other purposes.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
- 4 This Act may be cited as the "Trustworthy by Design
- 5 Artificial Intelligence Act of 2024" or the "TBD AI Act
- 6 of 2024".
- 7 SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.
- 8 In this Act:
- 9 (1) Artificial intelligence system.—The
- term "artificial intelligence system" means a ma-

1	chine-based system that can, for a given set of ma-
2	chine-defined or human-defined objectives, make or
3	inform predictions, recommendations, or decisions
4	influencing real or virtual environments. Artificial
5	intelligence systems use machine and human-based
6	inputs to—
7	(A) perceive real and virtual environments
8	(B) abstract such perceptions into models
9	through analysis in an automated manner; and
10	(C) use model inference to formulate op-
11	tions for information or action.
12	(2) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means
13	the Director of the National Institute of Standards
14	and Technology.
15	(3) FEDERAL AGENCY.—The term "Federal
16	agency" means any Federal department, agency, or
17	organization.
18	SEC. 3. GUIDELINES FOR EVALUATION OF TRUST
19	WORTHINESS OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE
20	SYSTEMS.
21	(a) Development Required.—
22	(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after
23	the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director
24	shall develop and release a set of guidelines for eval-

1	uation of the trustworthiness of artificial intelligence
2	systems.
3	(2) Use of existing guidelines or ele-
4	MENTS.—
5	(A) In general.—In carrying out para-
6	graph (1), the Director may use existing guide-
7	lines, best practices, or elements of guidelines
8	or best practices that the Director identifies
9	from other sources.
10	(B) Annotation.—If the Director uses
11	guidelines, best practices, or elements of guide-
12	lines or best practices pursuant to subpara-
13	graph (A) of this paragraph in order to carry
14	out paragraph (1), the Director shall clearly an-
15	notate such use in a central location and make
16	such annotations available online to the public.
17	(3) Periodic updates.—The Director shall,
18	on a periodic basis but not less frequently than an-
19	nually, update the guidelines developed pursuant to
20	paragraph (1).
21	(b) Components Covered.—The guidelines devel-
22	oped pursuant to subsection (a) for evaluation of artificial
23	intelligence systems shall cover the following:
24	(1) The models used for the artificial intel-
25	ligence systems.

1	(2) The data used and activities conducted in
2	training the artificial intelligence systems, including
3	the collection and filtering of data.
4	(3) The processes and techniques applied after
5	initial training to enhance the capabilities of the ar-
6	tificial intelligence systems, such as fine-tuning, re-
7	inforcement learning, and other post-training optimi-
8	zation methods.
9	(4) Content generated by the artificial intel-
10	ligence systems.
11	(5) The hardware systems used by the artificial
12	intelligence systems.
13	(6) Interactions between humans and the artifi-
14	cial intelligence systems that are expected to arise
15	during intended or reasonably foreseeable use of the
16	artificial intelligence systems.
17	(7) Risks presented by anthropomorphic artifi-
18	cial intelligence systems.
19	(c) Elements of Trustworthiness.—
20	(1) In general.—The guidelines developed
21	pursuant to subsection (a) shall cover trust-
22	worthiness with respect to the following:
23	(A) Validity and reliability.
24	(B) Safety.
25	(C) Security.

1	(D) Resiliency.
2	(E) Transparency and accountability.
3	(F) Explainability and interpretability.
4	(G) Privacy.
5	(H) Fairness and bias.
6	(I) Such other matters relating to safety,
7	security, or trustworthiness as the Director con-
8	siders appropriate.
9	(2) PROTECTED CLASSES.—The guidelines de-
10	veloped pursuant to subsection (a) shall specifically
11	highlight and consider accuracy and bias risks relat-
12	ing to protected classes under Federal law.
13	(d) APPLICABILITY.—The Director shall ensure that
14	the guidelines developed pursuant to subsection (a) are de-
15	veloped so that they include an assessment of the trust-
16	worthiness of all components covered under subsection (b)
17	with regards to all elements under subsection (c), but ac-
18	count for circumstances in which certain assessment meth-
19	ods or recommendations may not be applicable to certain
20	components or elements may not be applicable.
21	(e) Limitation Relating to Synthetic Con-
22	TENT.—Under the guidelines developed pursuant to sub-
23	section (a), the Director shall identify appropriate mecha-
24	nisms to manage the risks from relying on synthetic con-
25	tent or content created by artificial intelligence systems

- 6 to improve a dataset or model, or to meet evaluation 2 guidelines. 3 (f) Developing Robust Guidelines.—The Director shall ensure that the guidelines developed pursuant to 5 subsection (a) are developed in such a manner that encourages transparency, cooperation, and collaboration 6 with developers or evaluators of artificial intelligence sys-8 tems, academia, and civil society sufficient to independ-9 ently verify the elements set forth under subsection (c)(1). 10 (g) Iterative Evaluation.—The Director shall en-11 sure that the guidelines developed pursuant to subsection 12 (a) cover how best to evaluate the trustworthiness of artifi-13 cial intelligence systems iteratively, throughout the design, development, and deployment lifecycle of an artificial intel-14 15 ligence system. 16 (h) Report to Congress.— 17 (1) In General.—The Director shall submit to 18 Congress a report on any expected barriers to imple-19 menting and adhering to the guidelines developed 20 pursuant to subsection (a), especially with respect to 21 transparency, cooperation, or collaboration barriers 22 with developers of artificial intelligence systems. 23 (2) FORM.—The report under paragraph (1)
  - shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified appendix, if necessary.

24

25

1	SEC. 4. FEDERAL DEPLOYMENT OF ARTIFICIAL INTEL
2	LIGENCE SYSTEMS.
3	(a) COVERED USE DEFINED.—In this section, with
4	respect to an artificial intelligence system, the term "cov-
5	ered use''—
6	(1) means use in any automated decision mak-
7	ing; and
8	(2) does not include any use that the Director
9	exempts from any portion of the guidelines issued
10	under section 3(a), including any use that—
11	(A) is subject to evaluation by existing na-
12	tional security assessments; or
13	(B) is an edge case, especially a time sen-
14	sitive or emergency case, as determined by the
15	Director.
16	(b) Existing Artificial Intelligence Sys-
17	TEMS.—With respect to any artificial intelligence system
18	deployed for a covered use that is in use by a Federal
19	agency before the date of enactment of this Act, the Fed-
20	eral agency shall evaluate the artificial intelligence system
21	deployment to ensure the artificial intelligence system
22	meets the guidelines developed under section 3(a) not later
23	than 2 years after the effective date of this section or cease
24	using the artificial intelligence system.
25	(c) New Artificial Intelligence Systems.—The
26	head of each Federal agency shall ensure that each new

8

1	acquisition or integration of an artificial intelligence sys-
2	tem by the Federal agency for a covered use meets the
3	guidelines developed under section 3(a) prior to deploy-
4	ment of the artificial intelligence system.
5	(d) Labeling.—
6	(1) IN GENERAL.—The head of each Federal
7	agency shall identify as compliant, and make pub-
8	licly available, in accordance with all applicable clas-
9	sification requirements and national security restric-
10	tions, the documentation of evaluation status and
11	compliance details for, each artificial intelligence
12	system deployment for a covered use by the Federal
13	agency that meets the guidelines developed under
14	section 3(a).
15	(2) Noncompliant deployments.—Not later
16	than 2 years after the effective date of this section,
17	the head of each Federal agency that deploys an ar-
18	tificial intelligence system that is not compliant or is
19	not evaluated pursuant to paragraph (1), shall—
20	(A) make publicly available documentation
21	of each such deployment; and
22	(B) with respect to each such deployment,
23	report—
24	(i) the status of the evaluation;

1	(ii) progress made towards compli-
2	ance;
3	(iii) a clear, specific justification for
4	any delay; and
5	(iv) any barriers to compliance, in-
6	cluding resource constraints.
7	(3) Report to congress.—
8	(A) In General.—Not later than 3 years
9	after the effective date of this section, the head
10	of each Federal agency shall submit to Con-
11	gress a report of each deployment described in
12	paragraph (2).
13	(B) FORM.—The report under subpara-
14	graph (A) shall be submitted in unclassified
15	form, but may include a classified appendix, if
16	necessary.
17	(e) Chief AI Officers.—
18	(1) In general.—Not later than 120 days
19	after the effective date of this section, the head of
20	each Federal agency shall designate a Chief Artifi-
21	cial Intelligence Officer, with responsibility for the
22	management, governance, acquisition, and oversight
23	processes of the Federal agency relating to artificial
24	intelligence, including implementation of the guide-
25	lines developed under section 3(a).

1 (2)Full-time employee.—To the extent 2 practicable, each Chief Artificial Intelligence Officer 3 designated under paragraph (1) shall be a full-time 4 employee of the Federal agency on the date of the 5 designation. 6 (3) Seniority.—With respect to the Chief Artificial Intelligence Officer of any agency described 7 in section 901(b) of title 31, United States Code, the 8 9 Chief Artificial Intelligence Officer shall be an exec-10 utive with a position classified above GS-15 of the 11 General Schedule or the equivalent. 12 (f) Effective Date.—This section shall take effect on the date that the guidelines developed pursuant to sec-14 tion 3(a) are released.